



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,001		03/31/2000	James S. Bratsanos	E-989	1962
919	7590	12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	BOWES 1		PHAM, THIERRY L		
	ERVIEW D	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BO				PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-		40.4.0000	2624		
SHELIC	ON, CT 064	484-8000	DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/541,001	BRATSANOS ET AL.			
navies, y nedisir	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thierry L Pham	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movemed potent term editatement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in			
arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:	124-	d has			
	DAVID 1	MOORE			
	SUPERVISORY PA	TENT EYAMINER CENTEL 2000			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, the applicants argued the cited prior art does not teach steps b(i), b(ii), e and f. In response, these steps are explicitly taught by Cordery (col. 3, lines 24-67 and col. 4, lines 24-38). A host computer transmits a job data 10 (which is created from a word proceessing such as Microsoft Word as shown in fig. 1) including job header data, document data, envelope data (address data) and etc. Driver 37 as shown in fig. 2 determines and extracts envelop data (address data) from the job data 10. The document data is then forwards to document printer, and envelop data (address data) is then forwards to envelop printer as shown in fig. 4. The applicants also argued one printer driver for controling both document printer and envelop printer (one driver to drive two printers with a single I/O link as argued on page 3 of After Final Resposne). The examiner would like to remind the applicants that there is no such limitations as cited in claim 1 which indicates a single driver is for driving both document printer and envelop printer. As described on page 14 and fig. 4 of the original filed specification, document printer 334 is being drived via using printer driver 330, and envelop printer 332 is being drived via using a separate printer driver 328. Apparently, the arguments presented by the applicants contradict with the original filed specification.